



## Resource Allocation Sub (Policy and Resources) Committee

**Date:** TUESDAY, 17 MAY 2022

**Time:** 1.45 pm

**Venue:** COMMITTEE ROOMS, 2ND FLOOR, WEST WING, GUILDHALL

**Members:**

Deputy Christopher Hayward (Chairman)	Deputy James Thomson
Deputy Henry Colthurst (Deputy Chairman)	Alderman Sir David Wootton
Catherine McGuinness	Alderman & Sheriff Nicholas Lyons
Deputy Keith Bottomley	Deputy Randall Anderson
Tijs Broeke	Mary Durcan
Deputy Shravan Joshi	Deputy Andrien Meyers
	Ruby Sayed
	Deputy Edward Lord
	Tom Sleight
	Deputy Sir Michael Snyder

**Enquiries:** Polly Dunn  
[polly.dunn@cityoflondon.gov.uk](mailto:polly.dunn@cityoflondon.gov.uk)

### Accessing the virtual public meeting

Members of the public can observe this virtual public meeting at the below link:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=y9RS4Nj-ITo>

A recording of the public meeting will be available via the above link following the end of the public meeting for up to one municipal year. Please note: Online meeting recordings do not constitute the formal minutes of the meeting; minutes are written and are available on the City of London Corporation's website. Recordings may be edited, at the discretion of the proper officer, to remove any inappropriate material.

**Lunch will be served in the Guildhall Club at 1pm**

**John Barradell**  
Town Clerk and Chief Executive

## AGENDA

**NB: Certain matters for information have been marked \* and will be taken without discussion, unless a Member indicates that they have questions or comments prior to the start of the meeting. These information items have been collated in a supplementary agenda pack and circulated separately.**

1. **APOLOGIES**
  
2. **MEMBERS DECLARATIONS UNDER THE CODE OF CONDUCT IN RESPECT OF ITEMS ON THE AGENDA**
  
3. **TERMS OF REFERENCE\***  
To receive the terms of reference, as agreed by the Court of Common Council.  

**For Information**
  
4. **MINUTES**  
To agree the public minutes of the Sub-Committee meeting held on 3 February 2022.  

**For Decision**  
(Pages 5 - 8)
  
5. **COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY NEIGHBOURHOOD FUND - APPLICATIONS FOR APPROVAL**  
Report of the Managing Director of the Bridge House Estate and Chief Charities Officer.  

*To be read in conjunction with the non-public appendix at item 13 (information pack).*

**For Decision**  
(Pages 9 - 36)
  
6. **LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN FUNDED SCHEMES 2022/23**  
Report of the Director of Environment.  

**For Decision**  
(Pages 37 - 42)
  
7. **REPORT OF ACTION TAKEN BETWEEN MEETINGS\***  
Report of the Town Clerk.  

**For Information**
  
8. **QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE**

9. **ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT**

10. **EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC**

MOTION – That under Section 100(A) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following items on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part 1 of the Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act.

**Part 2 – Non-Public Agenda**

11. **NON-PUBLIC MINUTES**

To agree the non-public minutes of the Sub-Committee meeting held on 3 February 2022.

**For Decision**  
(Pages 43 - 46)

12. **CITY OF LONDON OPERATIONAL TENANTS - ARREARS UPDATE AND RENTAL SUPPORT\***

Joint report of the Chamberlain and City Surveyor.

**For Information**

13. **APPENDIX 4: COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY NEIGHBOURHOOD FUND - APPLICATIONS FOR APPROVAL\***

*To consider in conjunction with agenda item 4.*

**For Information**

14. **QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE**

15. **ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIRMAN CONSIDERS URGENT AND WHICH THE SUB-COMMITTEE AGREE SHOULD BE CONSIDERED WHILST THE PUBLIC ARE EXCLUDED**

[This page is left intentionally blank]

## RESOURCE ALLOCATION SUB (POLICY AND RESOURCES) COMMITTEE

Thursday, 3 February 2022

Minutes of the meeting of the Resource Allocation Sub (Policy and Resources) Committee held at Committee Rooms, 2nd Floor, West Wing, Guildhall on Thursday, 3 February 2022 at 1.45 pm

### Present

#### Members:

Deputy Jamie Ingham Clark (Deputy Chairman)  
Deputy Keith Bottomley  
Christopher Hayward  
Shravan Joshi  
Deputy Edward Lord

Jeremy Mayhew  
Sir Michael Snyder  
Deputy James Thomson  
Alderman Sir David Wootton

### In Attendance

#### Officers:

John Barradell	- Town Clerk and Chief Executive
Caroline Al-Beyerty	- Chamberlain
Emma Moore	- Chief Operating Officer
Damian Nussbaum	- Director of Innovation and Growth
Gregory Moore	- Assistant Town Clerk
Bob Roberts	- Director of Communications
Polly Dunn	- Town Clerk's Department
Sonia Virdee	- Chamberlain's Department
Dianne Merrifield	- Chamberlain's Department
Alistair Cook	- Chamberlain's Department
Aaron Downey	- Private Secretary, Chair of Policy & Resources
Jack Joslin	- Central Grants Unit
James Lee	- Central Grants Unit
Chris Bell	- City of London Police
Kevin Kilburn	- City of London Police

#### 1. APOLOGIES

Apologies were received from the Chair, Deputy Catherine McGuinness, Tijs Broeke, Anne Fairweather and Alderman & Sheriff Nicholas Lyons.

Chris Hayward took the Chair.

#### 2. MEMBERS DECLARATIONS UNDER THE CODE OF CONDUCT IN RESPECT OF ITEMS ON THE AGENDA

There were no declarations.

3. **MINUTES**

**RESOLVED**, that the public minutes and non-public summary of the meeting held on 13 January 2022, be approved as an accurate record.

4. **CAPITAL FUNDING UPDATE ON PREVIOUSLY APPROVED BIDS**

Members considered a report of the Chamberlain regarding the Capital Funding Update on previously approved bids.

**RESOLVED**, That Members

- i. Agree to apply the 'one-in, one-out' approach to reallocate £909k from the savings on two other City Fund schemes to provide top-up funding towards the cost increase on the Walbrook Wharf M&E Replacement scheme, with approval to release the central funding being subject to approval of the Gateway 5 proposals (by other committees).
- ii. Review the schemes summarised in Table 2 and, particularly in the context of the current financial climate, to confirm their continued essential priority for release of funding at this time; and accordingly.
- iii. Agree the release of up to £510k for the schemes in Table 2 from the reserves of City Fund and City's Cash as appropriate, subject to the required gateway approvals.
- iv. Note that in order to maintain sound financial discipline a review of unallocated central project funding provisions will be brought to Members, reflecting discussions taking place at the bi-lateral meetings.

5. **COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY NEIGHBOURHOOD FUND - APPLICATIONS FOR APPROVAL**

Members considered a report regarding the Community Infrastructure Levy Neighbourhood Fund (CILNF) Applications for approval.

Some Members sought clarification on what benefits the City Corporation would reap from such a sizeable grant, particularly in relation to Historic Royal Palaces (HRP) who were seeking £590k.

The 'Superbloom' exhibit was to be opened to commemorate the Platinum Jubilee. Whilst it would end in September 2022, the new natural landscape created to support it would remain in the moat as a permanent Jubilee legacy. Residents would benefit from a discounted entry rate, however, the primary benefit was considered to be the generation of increased footfall in the City.

Further questions were raised as to why such significant funds were being sought for a project that was technically outside the City, particularly when there was a separate bid for funding in to be made on Destination City in confidential session.

The Central Grants Unit gave notice of a request for delegated authority to approve bids between meetings. A query was raised as to why this was

considered urgent and it was confirmed that the applicant concerned (St Barts) had a deadline by which they must reach a fundraising threshold in order to successfully apply for a desired level of match funding from other sources. Members felt that this was fair but requested that the report be sent to the whole sub-committee

**RESOLVED**, That Members

- Defer consideration for the three applications to confidential session; and
- Delegate Authority to the Town Clerk, in consultation with the Chair and Deputy Chairman, to consider time-critical applications to the CILNF that would otherwise need approval by the Sub-Committee under current delegations ahead of its next scheduled meeting.

6. **QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE**

There were no questions.

7. **ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIR CONSIDERS URGENT**

There was no other business.

8. **EXCLUSION OF THE PUBLIC**

**RESOLVED**, that under Section 100(A) of the Local Government Act 1972, the public be excluded from the meeting for the following items on the grounds that they involve the likely disclosure of exempt information as defined in Part 1 of the Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act.

9. **NON-PUBLIC MINUTES**

**RESOLVED**, that the non-public minutes of the meeting held on 3 February 2022, be approved as an accurate record.

10. **ACTION FRAUD CONTRACT EXTENSION - CAPITAL EXPENDITURE**

Members considered a joint report of the Chamberlain and Commissioner regarding the Action Fraud contract extension – capital expenditure.

11. **CYCLICAL WORKS PROGRAMME (CWP) AND ADDITIONAL RESOURCES FOR CITY FUND PROPERTIES (ARCFP) - REQUEST FOR FUNDING FOR 2022/2023**

Members considered a report of the Chamberlain regarding the Cyclical Works Programme (CWP) and additional resources for City Fund Properties, a request for funding for 2022/23.

12. **CITY FUND - REFURBISHMENT OR REPLACEMENT OF THE FORESHORE RIVER DEFENCES FRONTING RIVERBANK HOUSE, UPPER THAMES STREET, LONDON EC4**

This item was withdrawn as the approval was contained within the recommendations at item 4 on the agenda.

13. **QUESTIONS ON MATTERS RELATING TO THE WORK OF THE SUB-COMMITTEE**

There were no questions.

14. **ANY OTHER BUSINESS THAT THE CHAIR CONSIDERS URGENT AND WHICH THE SUB-COMMITTEE AGREE SHOULD BE CONSIDERED WHILST THE PUBLIC ARE EXCLUDED**

There was no other business.

*All officers departed the meeting with the exception of the Town Clerk, Chamberlain, Director of Innovation and Growth, Assistant Town Clerk, Assistant Director of the Chamberlain's Department, officers from the Central Grants Unit and Clerk to the Sub-Committee.*

15. **DESTINATION CITY - STRATEGIC REVIEW INDEPENDENT REVIEW REPORT - GROWTH BID**

Members considered a report of the Director of Innovation and Growth regarding the Destination City Growth Bid.

**The meeting ended at 2.58 pm**

-----  
Chairman

**Contact Officer: Polly Dunn  
polly.dunn@cityoflondon.gov.uk**



# Agenda Item 5

<b>Committee(s):</b> Resource Allocation Sub (Policy and Resources) Committee – For decision	<b>Dated:</b> 17/05/2022
<b>Subject:</b> Community Infrastructure Levy Neighbourhood Fund – Applications for Approval	<b>Public</b>
<b>Which outcomes in the City Corporation’s Corporate Plan does this proposal aim to impact directly?</b>	1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 10
<b>Does this proposal require extra revenue and/or capital spending?</b>	<b>N</b>
<b>If so, how much?</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>What is the source of Funding?</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Has this Funding Source been agreed with the Chamberlain’s Department?</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Report of:</b> Managing Director of the Bridge House Estate and Chief Charities Officer	<b>For Decision</b>
<b>Report author:</b> James Lee, Community Infrastructure Levy Neighbourhood Fund Programme Manager	

## Summary

The City Corporation adopted a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) in 2014. National CIL Regulations require that 15% of CIL receipts be reserved for neighbourhood funding. Local authorities are required to engage with communities on how this neighbourhood funding should be used to support development of the area. Local authorities are required to report annually on the collection and use of CIL funds, identifying separately the amount of funds allocated to neighbourhood funding.

An amended policy for the Community Infrastructure Levy Neighbourhood Fund (CILNF) was agreed by this committee in May 2019, with agreement that a proportion of funding applications by officers under delegation, whilst retaining the role of the Committee to determine applications in excess of £50,000.

The CILNF application process is managed by the City Corporation’s Central Grants Unit, with officers assessing applications and providing support to Committee in the consideration of larger applications. The administrative cost incurred in operating the Fund is recoverable from the 5% of City CIL funds allowed to cover such costs in Regulations.

Members are asked to approve the grants recommended for their consideration at meetings of the CILNF Officer Panel in May 2022. Members are asked to note the grants approved and rejected under delegated authority.

## Recommendation

Members are recommended to:

1. To note the approved and rejected grants under delegated authority at a meeting of the CILNF Officer Panel in May 2022 (**Appendix 1**).
2. To approve the grant recommended to **'St Bartholomew's Heritage'** at a meeting of the CILNF Officer Panel in May 2022 (**Appendix 2**).
3. To approve the grant recommended to **'The Temple Church'** at a meeting of the CILNF Officer Panel in May 2022 (**Appendix 2**).
4. To note the current position of the CILNF with respect to funds available and ongoing reporting.
5. To note the pipeline of grant applications over £50,000 currently under assessment by the CGU. (**Non-Public Appendix 4**)

## Main Report

### Background

1. Under the 2008 Planning Act and the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010 (as amended), a local authority may adopt a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) setting out how it will require contributions from development towards the cost of providing new infrastructure. A local authority adopting a CIL must set out the infrastructure it will fund through the CIL in a document known as a Regulation 123 List. CIL regulations allow for up to 5% of CIL receipts to be used to fund the administrative costs incurred in operating a CIL. Regulations also require that 15% of CIL receipts shall be reserved for neighbourhood funding, or 25% where there is a neighbourhood plan. Neighbourhood funding must be passed to a neighbourhood forum, parish or town council, where they exist. Where they do not exist (as in the City of London), the local authority will retain CIL neighbourhood funds but should engage with communities where development has taken place and agree with them how best to spend this element of CIL.
2. In accordance with national Planning Practice Guidance, local authorities should set out clearly and transparently how they will engage with communities and the use of the neighbourhood fund should match the priorities expressed by these local communities.
3. Regulations require that the neighbourhood fund must be used to support the development of the local council's area, or any part of that area. CIL Regulation 59F allows a wider scope of projects to be funded through the CILNF than that allowed for other CIL funding, including:

- a) the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; (the same criteria as for other CIL funds) or
- b) anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on an area (additional flexibility for neighbourhood fund).

In delivering against (b) above, the neighbourhood fund does not have to be spent in accordance with the local authority’s CIL spending priorities (set out in its Regulation 123 List).

- 4. Local authorities are required to report annually on the collection and use of CIL funds, identifying separately the amount of CIL Neighbourhood Funds and how they have been used.
- 5. Management of the City CILNF process is aligned with the City’s existing grant allocation process, through the Central Grants Unit (CGU). A full policy document can be found at Appendix 3.
- 6. The CGU is co-located with the City Bridge Trust (CBT) team in order to facilitate consistency of approach and harmonise service standards across grant-making activities by the City Corporation (in its various capacities, including as trustee of a number of charities which form part of the Central Grant Programme). The Managing Director of the Bridge House Estate and Chief Charities Officer is responsible for maintaining an overview of the CGU (and broader charity matters), with relevant input from the Charity Finance Team (Chamberlain’s Department), with the work being delivered by the Head of Central Grants Unit.
- 7. Where an application will have an impact on a specific ward, your Officers will consult with Members of that ward as part of the assessment process.

**Current Position**

- 8. The City CILNF launched on 1 September 2020. In May 2022, the neighbourhood portion of the City CIL stood at £5.8 million in available funds.
- 9. Since the launch of the City CILNF, Members and Officers have worked together to commit £2,594,671 in funding to City communities.

Financial year	Funds committed
2020/21	£623,748
2021/22	£1,970,923

- 10. As of 22 November 2021, the CILNF is temporarily paused to new applications in excess of £50,000 in order to carry out its statutory reporting and public consultation obligations. It is anticipated that the fund will resume acceptance of applications over £50,000 following the completion of this consultation by June 2022.

11. The City CILNF is currently processing an application pipeline of £4,245,381. It is not anticipated that this entire pipeline will be fully funded. All applications over £50,000 that are under assessment by the CGU can be found in the Non-Public Agenda, **Appendix 4**.

Funds committed to date	Funds available	Current pipeline
£2,594,671	£5,854,150	£4,245,381

12. At its meeting in May 2022, the CILNF Officer Panel considered three applications. **Appendix 2** outlines two grants which Members of the Committee are now asked to approve at this meeting.

### **Corporate & Strategic Implications**

13. Corporate Plan Implications: the CILNF can resource community-led infrastructure improvements across the City and contribute towards meeting the 3 aims of the Corporate Plan 2018-23, particularly Contribute to a Flourishing Society and Shaping an Outstanding Environment.

14. Security Implications: the CILNF fulfils a statutory requirement for the spending of CIL. There are no direct security implications, though future funded projects may bring security benefits.

15. Financial Implications: the CILNF makes use of that proportion of City CIL monies which are required by statute to be used to assist in the delivery of new infrastructure to meet community needs (15% of CIL funds). The costs of management of the grant application process will be met through the 5% of CIL funds set aside by statute to cover CIL administration.

16. Equalities and resourcing implications: the CILNF has been subject to an Equality Analysis Test of Relevance. This has concluded that there are no impacts arising from these proposals for protected groups and that a full Equality Analysis is not required.

17. Volunteering programme: Projects funded by the CILNF may provide volunteering opportunities which can be offered to Officers via the Corporate Volunteering programme if and when appropriate.

18. Delivery of the Fund will be through existing staff resources in Departments. Staff resource requirements will be met through allocation of some of the City CIL funds set aside by statute to cover administration costs.

### **Conclusion**

19. Community Infrastructure Levy legislation requires local authorities to reserve between 15% and 25% of CIL receipts for neighbourhood funding. Where there is no recognised parish or town council or neighbourhood forum, the local authority will retain the neighbourhood fund but must spend it on infrastructure which

meets community needs. The local authority must consult the community on how these funds will be used.

20. The Neighbourhood Fund application process is managed by the City Corporation's Central Grants Unit, with officers assessing applications and providing support to Committee in the consideration of larger applications. The administrative cost incurred in operating the Fund is recoverable from the 5% of City CIL funds allowed to cover such costs in Regulations. The programme launched on 1 September 2020.

### **Appendices**

Appendix 1 – Applications Approved and Rejected under Delegated Authority  
Appendix 2 – Assessment Pack  
Appendix 3 – CIL Neighbourhood Fund Policy

### **Non-Public**

Appendix 4 – Pipeline of applications over £50,000 to the CILNF

### **Background Papers**

Report to Policy & Resources Committee 02/05/2019: City of London Community Infrastructure Levy – Approval of Neighbourhood Fund

### **James Lee**

Community Infrastructure Levy Neighbourhood Fund Programme Manager  
E: [james.lee@cityoflondon.gov.uk](mailto:james.lee@cityoflondon.gov.uk)

This page is intentionally left blank

**Appendix 1 – Grants Approved and Rejected under Delegated Authority**

**Grants Approved under Delegated Authority**

<b>St. Michael Cornhill (ref. 18942)</b>	£7,855 for the improvement of St. Michael's garden as a public amenity providing a quiet, attractive oasis in the City
--	--

This page is intentionally left blank





# Community Infrastructure Levy Neighbourhood Fund

---

Assessment Pack – May 2022

James Lee & Jack Joslin

## COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY NEIGHBOURHOOD FUND

**St Bartholomew's Heritage (ref. 18874)**

**Amount requested: £774,006**

**Amount recommended: £473,000**

**Purpose of grant request: Our request is for support for the comprehensive activities programme at Barts Heritage, providing facilities for community use in education, recreation and health and wellbeing.**

**Type of cost: Revenue & Capital**

**Ward(s) benefitting: All**

### **The Applicant**

St Bartholomew's Heritage or Barts Heritage (BH) is a registered Charity formed in partnership with Barts Health NHS Trust to repair and renovate the Grade I listed North Wing and Henry VIII Gatehouse at St Bartholomew's Hospital in the City of London. The North Wing houses two of England's most important interiors, the Great Hall, and the Hogarth Stair with its massive paintings by William Hogarth, together with the historic Hospital archives and an extensive collection of art. These interiors and collections represent the historic heart of the Hospital and reflect 900 years of history.

Working closely with Barts Health NHS Trust BH have planned a comprehensive restoration project which will open up the buildings for a range of uses as part of the 900th anniversary celebrations in 2023. The shared vision is to bring the buildings back to life as a place of discovery for visitors and to provide facilities to promote the health and wellbeing of hospital staff.

### **Background and detail of proposal**

BH was established to rescue and rejuvenate the historic buildings of St Bartholomew's Hospital. These buildings are of national importance and include the Grade I-listed North Wing. BH's main focus is to develop detailed proposals for the restoration and sustainable public use of the historic buildings at Barts in the Charity's care. This includes fundraising towards that goal while growing the community of people who will support the charity in the long term.

In the meantime, before full public use is enabled, BH wish to promote the North Wing and its spectacular interiors as a place for performances, conferences and celebrations. The Charity work in partnership with Barts Health NHS Trust to provide a place for staff respite and recovery. During the pandemic this has also included hosting fit testing for PPE. Ultimately, the activities of BH will be to operate the North Wing as a year-round heritage attraction, with a dynamic programme of public events, educational and wellbeing activities.

Funding is requested to support a wide-ranging public engagement programme,

reaching Hospital staff and their families; patients and their visitors; residents and City workers; volunteers; and cultural visitors.

Regular public access will begin with the specialist restoration work, itself a focal point for skills development and enrichment through scaffolding tours, demonstrations, expert talks and films. An ongoing year-round programme will include performances, family friendly events, interactive and multi-sensory interpretation, and exhibitions. As well as attracting local residents, City workers and cultural visitors, the programme's varied content and format will help the Charity reach the 2,500 staff and 300,000 patients annually, an economically and culturally diverse cohort. The historic spaces and stories will inspire digital exhibitions, art therapy, movement and dance with targeted workshops for staff and patients most in need, towards measurably improved health and wellbeing outcomes. There will also be outreach pop ups and live streaming to Hospital screens and online.

Extensive consultation was undertaken in 2020 and early 2021 with 70 individuals representing internal and external stakeholders and potential partner organisations. This gave BH insight into the current context and opportunities, gathered evidence to develop activities, identified audience needs and barriers and explored potential partnerships for delivery. Together with desk-based research of a broad range of data sources, strategic documents and evidence, the work has shown enthusiastic interest across a range of audiences including external stakeholders, cultural audiences, staff and patients. It has also established a network of confirmed partners keen to work with BH, including Culture Mile, Museum of London, Wellcome Collection, Charterhouse and Barts the Great. Several Barts teams have indicated the need for the proposed programme to complement and enhance healthcare services including Cancer Psychological Services, Allied Health Professionals Therapies team, clinical nurse specialists for teenagers and young adults, Staff Wellbeing Team and Barts Equality and Inclusion Group.

At assessment BH outlined how its approach is collaborative and inclusive. Alongside a strong partnership board, the Charity has a wide-ranging volunteering programme that will help people to connect with the process of conservation, connect with others, play a part in the wider hospital history, and learn new skills.

Pilot projects will test ideas and identify benefits to inform interpretation, including co-design for inclusive storytelling. BH will continually test activities in partnership with creative, health and wellbeing experts to pilot therapeutic uses of the North Wing's spaces; responding to audience needs and opportunities to extend heritage into other hospital spaces. Co-curated digital content will use archive collections to share a range of people's experiences including contemporary lived experiences of staff and historic perspectives. By bringing little-known stories to the fore, BH hope to offer an expanded range of thematic entry points for a broader range of people to the programme.

Significant funding is being requested over a 5-year period from the CILNF. Alongside this a large-scale fundraising campaign is taking place to raise funding for the capital renovation of Barts North Wing. The Revenue funding applied for in this proposal will support with the ongoing engagement work as the restoration commences and will provide staffing to support with engagement around the 900

anniversary of Barts Hospital in 2023.

There are some Capital elements to the budget in year three and four which will be used towards fitting out the Community spaces in the North Wing, as each part of the restoration gets underway.

### Financial Information

BH are in the process of a large fundraising campaign to ensure that sufficient funds are secured to meet the costs of refurbishing the Historic North Wing at Barts Hospital. National Heritage Lottery Fund (NHLF) have pledged 50% (£4.7 Million) of the total cost of the refurbishment, contingent on the other 50% being raised by August 2022. BH are on track to meet this target and have secured 71% of the total target, funding from the CILNF will support BH significantly in reaching its target.

At assessment BH outlined that if they do not meet the NLHF Round two deadline in August they will have a further three months to re-submit. The running costs of BH are currently underpinned by a development grant from NHLF, who are very committed to this work given the significant 900-year anniversary.

Year end as at April 30	2021	2022	2023
	Signed Accounts	Forecast	Budget
	£	£	£
<b>Income &amp; expenditure:</b>			
Income	396,064	532,416	2,994,655
Expenditure	(433,149)	(452,119)	(862,082)
Gains/(losses)	0	0	0
<b>Surplus/(deficit)</b>	<b>(37,085)</b>	<b>80,297</b>	<b>2,132,573</b>
<b>Reserves:</b>			
Total endowed	0	0	0
Total restricted	10,256	44,460	2,085,206
Total unrestricted	152,309	188,146	235,513
<b>Total reserves</b>	<b>162,565</b>	<b>232,606</b>	<b>2,320,719</b>
Of which: free unrestricted	152,309	188,146	235,513
Reserves policy target	84,000	84,000	84,000
Free reserves over/(under) target	68,309	104,146	151,513

## **Recommendation**

This application to the CIL Neighbourhood Fund is significant providing an ambitious programme of work over a 5-year period. The application meets the criteria of the Neighbourhood Fund and has demonstrated the significant benefit this project will have to City of London Communities, including staff and patients of Barts Hospital. To ensure this project is successful a minimum of 3 years of funding is being requested. This will provide funding over the 900-year celebrations of Barts Hospital and beyond. During assessment, your officer discussed potential funding options, considering the increasing pressure on the CIL Neighbourhood fund.

Funding is proposed across the following three options:

**Funding Option 1: To fund the project in full. £774,000 over 5 years. (£120,000; £97,000; £256,000; £256,000; £45,000)**

Funding Option 1 will provide full funding for the project over 5 years. This will allow for the full community engagement work to take place during the whole period of the restoration of the building. Full funding will significantly support BH to achieve its fundraising goal.

**Funding Option 2: To fund the project in full over a shorter period of 3 years. £473,000 (£120,000; £97,000; £256,000)**

Funding Option 2 provides a reduction in years. Three years is the minimum duration that should be considered as it will allow for this project to take place across the 900-year anniversary programme and will significantly support BH with reaching its Fundraising target. This budget includes a contribution to fit out costs for the building in the third year, this will mainly be used for furniture in the community spaces as parts of the North Wing begin to re-open.

**Funding Option 3: To fund the project over a shorter period of 3 years removing the capital fit out and furniture costs in the final year, ensuring the project remains purely revenue. £421,000 (£120,000; £97,000; £204,000)**

Funding Option 3 provides a reduction in years and reduces the budget in the third year to not include the Capital items being supported in Option 2. This would make the grant purely revenue and focused on the Community engagement programme. This will support BH with meeting its fundraising objectives but will leave the Charity with the challenge of raising further funds for Capital items in year three of the project.

**Officers recommend Funding Option 2.** This will provide funding for all the elements of the project being applied for over a three-year period. This includes revenue and staffing costs to deliver the community engagement programme as well as providing funding in the third year towards Capital costs for fixtures and fittings in the community spaces in the North Wing building. This will see this programme of work through the 900-year anniversary of Barts Hospital. It will also provide significant support to help unlock the pledged NLHF grant and ensure that this funding comes to the City of London to restore such an important cultural asset.

## COMMUNITY INFRASTRUCTURE LEVY NEIGHBOURHOOD FUND

**The Temple Church (ref. 18883)**

**Amount requested: £496,000**

**Amount recommended: £371,000**

**Purpose of grant request: Funding towards a capital Restoration and Renew project to transform the modern ‘envelope’ around the Church’s historic core: all the front-of-house and back-of-house facilities will be re-configured and modernised.**

**Type of cost: Capital**

**Ward(s) benefitting: Farringdon Without**

### **The Applicant**

The Temple Church is one of the most historic and beautiful churches in London, located between Fleet Street and the River Thames. It was built by the Knights Templar, the order of crusading monks founded to protect pilgrims on their way to and from Jerusalem in the 12th century. It is a perfect example of Gothic-Romanesque architecture at its finest and was constructed from cream-coloured Caen stone. The Church is in two parts: the Round (consecrated in 1185) and the Chancel (consecrated in 1240). The first section of the Temple Church known as the Round Church, built in a circular form to echo the shape of Jerusalem’s Church of the Holy Sepulchre, (where Jesus is believed to be buried). Following the suppression of the Knights Templar by Papal decree in 1312, the Crown took control of the Church.

The Church is not a registered charity. The constitutional position of the Church and its current governance structure are most unusual, if not unique. In 1608 King James I by Letters Patent granted the Church and the associated lands comprised in the Temple to the Middle Temple and the Inner Temple (the Inns) subject to a condition that the Inns should maintain the fabric of the Church and make provision for the Master of the Temple. The Letters Patent do not contain any detailed provision as to the way this obligation should be carried out. The grant was confirmed and replicated by HM Queen Elizabeth II in 2008. For very many years the Inns have fulfilled their obligations under the Letters Patent and generally managed the Church through a joint committee of the Inns known as the Church Committee which has an equal number of members of the two Inns. The Church has always been treated by everyone concerned as an entity separate from the Inns holding its assets on trusts distinct from those on which the Inns hold their assets. This is reflected in the fact that it has a separate bank account and separate accounts are prepared for it. It also has its own tax reference with HMRC.

The precise legal analysis of these arrangements has been the subject of considerable discussion over the years but the view of the Inns and the Church Committee, which has been recently confirmed by a Master of the Bench of the Inner Temple who was asked to consider the question, is that the Church is an entity

separate from the Inns with its own assets held on distinct trusts and is a charitable unincorporated association managed by the Church Committee the members of which are the charity trustees. It is accepted that the Church should be registered as a charity and it is planned that, as part of an integrated package of governance changes, the register the Temple Church as a charitable trust with the Charity Commission.

The Inns and Church work closely with the Corporation of London and the Church has welcomed the Lord Mayor and Sheriffs to several services since 2014. From the Temple, in May 1214, King John issued the London Charter that still authorises the City to elect its own Lord Mayor, on condition that s/he is presented to the Chief Justice – now, in the Lord Mayor’s Show – on the first day of office.

Today Temple Church is a working Church and is open to the public on weekdays 10am-4pm. It has a dedicated team of clerical and non-clerical members of staff implementing objectives developed by the church committee. In 2019 the Church welcomed c34,000 visitors. The Church is also home to two choirs, the Temple Church Choir and Temple Singers both of international standing.

### **Background and detail of proposal**

The Temple Church was last subject to major repairs in the 1950s and is not currently fit for purpose, in several ways. Its present principal entrance is a meagre 1950s addition; its majestic Norman Doorway is not accessible to all. There is insufficient back-of-house space on-site, forcing the Church to pay a substantial sum annually to rent office space for staff. The lack of toilets on-site means that growth in the events and venue hire programme is stifled and worsens the experience for visitors, audiences, and parishioners alike.

The Church has therefore been developing a major Restoration and Renewal Plan, engaging Architects Purcell to focus on four specific areas:

- Re-establishing the building’s principal western entrance
- Providing access for all and improving circulation throughout
- Developing quality back of house, office, and song school facilities.
- Improving user / customer experience

This application is seeking funding over 5 years, in two parts: Part one - capital funding in Year 1 towards the Restoration and Renewal of the church for RIBA stage 3<sup>1</sup>. Part two - revenue funding for two part-time posts. A Fundraising Manager (years 1-5) to help secure funding for the further RIBA stages 4-7 (4: Technical Design, 5: Manufacturing & Construction, 6: Handover and Close Out, 7: In Use) and an Events and Outreach Manager to drive marketing, generate income and more diverse audiences in Years 3-5.

New facilities will be provided, including visitor orientation spaces, offices, music rehearsal studios, exhibition space, and toilets. To make the most of this new space, as well as existing facilities, the Church will utilise its spaces flexibly, particularly the new studio spaces within the roof extension. These roof-spaces will be multi-use areas that can be used for any of the Church’s activities and for hire. A Business Plan has been developed for broadening access of all, for public education and



public benefit, and for the generation of commercial income that the completion of Restoration and Renewal will make possible. There is an opportunity for the Church to build on its success with lectures, talks, and concerts, by growing its public programming, both on-site and digitally. This event programme would be generated both internally and in partnership with the Temple Music Foundation (TMF).

The Temple Church is located in the City of London, in the Temple area (between Fleet Street and the River Thames) – the heart of legal and constitutional London. The surrounding region has a breadth of educational and professional establishments, including the area's two universities (King's College and the London School of Economics) and numerous workspaces. There are several developments planned for the immediate vicinity, which could benefit the Temple Church through partnership opportunities and a broader range of visitors. The coming 5-15 years will see a transformation of Fleet Street into a new commercial and cultural mile and a regeneration of the Strand and Victoria Embankment.

### **Financial Information**

The Temple Church building had to close to the public in March 2020 in accordance with Government and Church of England requirements following the outbreak of COVID 19. The income from admissions was reduced to the level of £15,000, compared to £115,000 in 2019 and other revenue items were also significantly reduced. However, also due to the closure, the Church managed to make some significant savings across nearly all cost budget lines. The accounts for the year ended 31st December 2020 report that the Temple Church generated a gain of £138,230 before net gains on investments (2019: £3,385). This was predominantly due to additional financial support from the Temple Music Foundation and Temple Music Trust, as well as lower cost in relation to maintenance of the Church during the lockdown and lower Choir and scholarship costs.

The Members of the Church Committee do not consider it necessary to set a target minimum level of reserves in view of the obligations of The Honourable Societies of the Inner and Middle Temple set out in the Charter. The Inns provide core funding to the Church under their Charter obligations. The Church has one restricted fund, which is for the restoration project at around £50k, this is intended for the completion of RIBA 2 (concept design), has been largely funded by the Julia and Hans Rausing Trust. It is planned that, as part of an integrated package of governance changes, the registration of the Temple Church as a charitable trust with the Charity Commission it will facilitate donations to the Church's appeal but also enable the Church to approach a variety of sources of funds, and thereby enhance the prospects of success of the Restoration and Renewal project. The proposed phasing of Restoration & Renewal is sensible as it prioritises elements of the capital programme that will ensure the commercial revenue identified in the business plan can be delivered as quickly as possible, alongside much needed improvements to the building's remarkable built heritage.



Year end as at 31 Dec	2020	2021	2022
	Signed Accounts	Forecast	Budget
	£	£	£
<b>Income &amp; expenditure:</b>			
Income	1,238,059	1,304,081	1,602,701
Expenditure	(1,099,829)	(1,312,095)	(1,584,137)
Gains/(losses)	13,676	0	0
<b>Surplus/(deficit)</b>	<b>151,906</b>	<b>(8,014)</b>	<b>18,564</b>
<b>Reserves:</b>			
Total restricted	58,742	52,489	52,489
Total unrestricted	895,571	893,810	912,374
<b>Total reserves</b>	<b>954,313</b>	<b>946,299</b>	<b>964,863</b>
Of which: free unrestricted	150,321	148,560	167,124
Reserves policy target	0	0	0

## Recommendation

The Temple Church is an outstanding church of Romanesque-Gothic design, with a remarkable history and importance in British cultural, ecclesiastical, and legal life. Its significance includes playing a key role in the Magna Carta negotiations, which created a link between the Church and the rule of law that has continued for more than 800 years up to the present day. Its importance is also expressed in contemporary terms through the impact that the Temple Music Foundation has with its choral music programmes and events. Your funding will help secure this historic church's future by contributing towards a major Restoration and Renewal Programme providing much needed improvements to the buildings remarkable built heritage whilst also ensuring the church is accessible, broadens its outreach and public benefit and secures its future sustainability by generating commercial income. The funding options below are stated as including the Fundraising Manager and the project cost without. It was felt at the Officer Panel that the cost of the Fundraising Manager for this project should be a cost met by the Church itself.

Funding is proposed across the following two options:

**Funding Option 1: To fund the project in full by supporting the capital Restoration and Renew project and salary costs of PT Fundraising Manager and PT Outreach Manager. £496,000 over five years (£336,000; £25,000; £45,000, £45,000, £45,000)**

**Funding Option 2: To fund the capital Restoration and Renew project and salary costs of the PT Outreach Manager. £371,000 over four years (£311,000; £20,000; £20,000; £20,000)**

**Officers recommend Funding Option 2.** This will provide funding for the most significant aspect of this application (achievement of RIBA 3) and support the ongoing salary cost of the Outreach Manager who will help to maximise the impact on City communities of this grant award.

This page is intentionally left blank

# City of London

## Community Infrastructure Levy

### Neighbourhood Fund



# City of London Community Infrastructure Levy

## Neighbourhood Fund

### Introduction and legislative background

1. The Community Infrastructure Levy is a charge levied on new development, introduced by the Planning Act 2008. It is intended to help local authorities deliver the infrastructure needed to support development. The power to set a charge came into effect from April 2010, through the Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations 2010, which have subsequently been amended.
2. The City of London Corporation implemented a Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) for the City of London from 1 July 2014.
3. Further information on the City CIL is available on the City Corporation's website at: <https://www.cityoflondon.gov.uk/services/environment-and-planning/planning/planning-policy/Pages/Community-Infrastructure-Levy.aspx>

### CIL Neighbourhood Fund Requirements

4. Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations require that 15% of CIL receipts should be reserved to enable the delivery of neighbourhood priorities. These receipts should be passed directly to existing parish and town councils where development has taken place. Where a neighbourhood plan or neighbourhood development order has been made 25% of CIL receipts from development in the plan area is reserved for the delivery of neighbourhood priorities.
5. Where there is no existing parish, town or community council, neighbourhood plan or development order, then the local authority will retain neighbourhood CIL funds, but should engage with communities where development has taken place and agree with them how best to spend the neighbourhood CIL.
6. Within the City of London, there are no existing parish, town or community councils and no adopted neighbourhood plans or neighbourhood development orders. The City Corporation therefore retains the CIL Neighbourhood Fund and should seek community views on how this Fund should be used. In exercising this role, the City Corporation has considered whether specific communities or

neighbourhoods should be identified. However, given that the City is little over one square mile in area, the City Corporation considers that it should be regarded as a single neighbourhood for the purposes of collection and spending of CIL Neighbourhood Funds.

## **What can CIL Neighbourhood Funds be used for?**

7. CIL Regulation 59(F) requires that the Neighbourhood Fund be used to support the development of the neighbourhood. The scope of projects that can be funded by the Neighbourhood Fund is wider than that for general CIL funds and comprises:
  - a. The provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or
  - b. Anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on an area.
8. This definition is deliberately wide and allows the City Corporation to work collaboratively with local communities to determine priorities and how the Fund should be used.

## **Scale of the City CIL Neighbourhood Fund**

9. The City of London CIL was implemented from 1 July 2014.
10. At March 2019, the total amount of CIL monies received and allocated to the CIL Neighbourhood Fund was £4.5 million.

## **Community Priorities**

11. The City Corporation has adopted a Regulation 123 List which identifies the types of infrastructure that it will consider funding using the Community Infrastructure Levy. This Regulation 123 List is kept under review and any proposals for change will be subject to public consultation. The current Regulation 123 List is available on the City Corporation's website at:  
<https://www.cityoflondon.gov.uk/services/environment-and-planning/planning/planning-policy/Pages/Community-Infrastructure-Levy.aspx> . The Regulation 123 List is used principally to guide the use of CIL monies outside of the Neighbourhood Fund.
12. In considering how to use the CIL Neighbourhood Fund, Planning Practice Guidance states that where there is no parish, town or community

council, charging authorities should engage with communities where development has taken place on their priorities for funding.

13. The City Corporation consulted on priorities for the use of the City's CIL Neighbourhood Fund during December 2018 and January 2019. This consultation revealed support for the Fund to be used primarily to deliver infrastructure which meets local community identified needs.
14. The City's Neighbourhood Fund has been established to be applied to funding applications from local communities and community groups and to deliver improvements in infrastructure which have the potential to deliver benefit to City residents, workers and visitors. The Fund could be used for:
  - Smaller scale projects, deliverable for under £50,000, in response to locally identified needs.
  - Larger projects of over £50,000 and normally less than 15% of the total available Neighbourhood Fund.

## **Community Definition**

15. The City of London has a resident population of approximately 8,000 and a daily working population of over 500,000 occupying nearly 9 million square metres of office floorspace. The City Corporation's Statement of Community Involvement already recognises that it is not appropriate to regard the 'local community' as just the resident community. For the purposes of the CIL Neighbourhood Fund, 'community' is defined as local residents, City workers and the owners and occupiers of City buildings.

## **Governance Process**

16. The City CIL Neighbourhood Fund will be allocated following consideration of valid applications (i.e. those that meet the adopted assessment criteria for the Neighbourhood Fund) from communities within the City of London or close to the City of London where projects support the development of the City. The determination of these applications will rest with the City Corporation. The City Corporation will publish details of funding applications and its determination of those applications on the City Corporation's website.
17. The City Corporation will prepare an annual report for the CIL Neighbourhood Fund as a separate item within the wider annual CIL

and s106 monitoring report. The Neighbourhood Fund monitoring will include details of:

- Total CIL Neighbourhood Fund receipts for the reporting year;
- Total CIL Neighbourhood Fund expenditure for the reporting year;
- Details of CIL Neighbourhood Fund expenditure for the reporting year, including the amount spent on each individual project;
- Total CIL Neighbourhood Fund monies remaining.

18. City Communities will be consulted on an annual basis on community priorities for the City CIL Neighbourhood Fund. A full review of the Neighbourhood Fund, including priorities and governance, will be undertaken at least every 5 years.

## **Neighbourhood Fund Application Process**

19. The application process will be managed by the City Corporation's Central Grants Unit. Information about the Neighbourhood Fund and how to apply will be posted on the City Corporation's website at: <https://www.cityoflondon.gov.uk/services/environment-and-planning/planning/planning-policy/Pages/Community-Infrastructure-Levy.aspx>

20. Fund applications can be made at any time and should be submitted via an online application form which will be posted on the City Corporation's website.

## **Organisations eligible to bid for funding**

21. Neighbourhood Fund applications will be accepted from the following types of organisation:

- Constituted voluntary organisations and resident associations
- Constituted business organisations and associations
- Registered charities
- Registered community interest companies
- Charitable companies (incorporated as not for profit)
- Registered charitable incorporated organisations
- Exempt or excepted charities

- Registered charitable industrial and provident society or charitable cooperative.
22. Applications should be from City-based organisations or should demonstrate City-based support. Applications cannot be accepted from individuals. Individuals who wish to apply for funding should do so through a City-based constituted organisation or group falling into the above definition. Applications will not be accepted from political parties or organisations involved in political lobbying.
23. Applications from City Corporation service departments will be accepted where they:
- Have the support of a City-based community group, or
  - Can demonstrate that delivery will meet community priorities, either through consultation with communities, or through an adopted City Corporation strategy which can demonstrate community support.
24. Applications for infrastructure funding to mitigate the direct impacts of development will not be accepted. Such mitigation should be delivered as part of the development process and funded through s106 Planning Obligations.

## **Assistance with Applications**

25. The Central Grants Unit can provide assistance to applicants with the completion of application forms. Contact details are available on the City Corporation's website. The Central Grants Unit cannot provide assistance with project management or delivery of schemes funded through the Neighbourhood Fund.

## **Assessment Criteria**

26. Applications should demonstrate that funding will be used to meet the Regulatory requirements for CIL funding set out in Community Infrastructure Levy Regulations, namely to support the development of the area by:
- a. the provision, improvement, replacement, operation or maintenance of infrastructure; or
  - b. anything else that is concerned with addressing the demands that development places on an area.



27. Infrastructure improvements funded through the Neighbourhood Fund should deliver improvements necessary to support development of the City. Normally, such funding will deliver new infrastructure, but funding will also be available to meet reasonable on-going maintenance costs. Applications should, therefore, identify and include an allowance for future maintenance of any infrastructure to be provided.
28. CIL Regulations allow greater flexibility in the use of the Neighbourhood Fund compared with other CIL expenditure. Neighbourhood Funds may therefore be used to fund revenue expenditure. To avoid creating long term commitments on the Neighbourhood Fund, any requests for revenue funding should be clearly justified, showing demonstrable community benefit, and time limited to a maximum of 5 years. The City Corporation will not commit to providing CIL funding beyond the agreed time period and will need to be satisfied that alternative funding is in place if the proposed project is intended to continue beyond 5 years.
29. For larger projects of over £50,000 and up to 15% of the total value of the Neighbourhood Fund at the time of application, applications should also consider whether the project meets the priorities identified in the City Corporation's Regulation 123 List and projects identified in City Corporation strategies that have been subject to public consultation. Funding decisions will not be made solely on the basis of compliance, or otherwise, with the Regulation 123 List.
30. Applications should include evidence of the feasibility, deliverability and sustainability of the project.
31. Where possible, the application should be supported by a delivery plan or business plan, which sets out the timescales for delivery, that any necessary consents have been obtained and the mechanisms in place to ensure that the funds are used appropriately.
32. Projects should be delivered within a 12 month period from the grant of funding unless an alternative timescale has been agreed. If delivery over a longer timescale is anticipated, this should be set out clearly in the application and a justification provided for the extended timescale. The City Corporation will monitor delivery of projects, including taking action to ensure that projects are delivered on time, or seek to recover funds if projects do not proceed within agreed parameters.
33. Applications for funding in excess of £50,000 should demonstrate how the project will deliver value for money, including through the identification of any contributory or match funding. This can include contributions in time or expertise, for example, where a local community

delivers infrastructure improvements themselves, but is not necessary for a successful bid.

34. Applications to fund projects which are already in receipt of other City CIL funding, or s106, s278 funding for site specific mitigation will not normally be accepted.
35. Developers may wish to support an application from a constituted City-based organisation or group, as set out above, where the proposed infrastructure cannot be delivered through other means.

### **Value of Bids**

36. The minimum value for applications for infrastructure funding is £1,000.
37. Individual applications should normally not exceed 15% of the total value of the available CIL Neighbourhood Fund at the time of application. Information on the available funds will be published on the City Corporation's website on a quarterly basis to inform applications.
38. Applications in excess of 15% will only be considered in exceptional circumstances, where there is demonstrable benefit to more than one of the City's communities and where the proposal aligns with other City Corporation ambitions, set out in published strategies.

### **Awards Process**

39. The determination of applications will be made through a combination of officer delegation and Committee approval, depending on the financial value of the application. The adopted thresholds accord with those used by the City Bridge Trust in its consideration of grant applications.
40. Funding applications for under £25,000 will be determined by City Corporation officers under delegated authority. Decisions should normally be made within 12 weeks of the receipt of a valid application.
41. Applications for between £25,000 and £50,000 will be determined by a City Corporation officers under delegated authority and in consultation with the Chairman and Deputy Chairman of the Resource Allocation Sub-Committee. Decisions should normally be made within 16 weeks of the receipt of a valid application.
42. Decisions taken under delegated authority will be reported to the Resource Allocations Sub-Committee.

43. Applications for over £50,000 will be considered by the City Corporation's Resource Allocation Sub-Committee, normally on a quarterly basis. Applications will be considered as items in the public part of the meeting agenda.

This page is intentionally left blank

<b>Committee(s)</b>	<b>Dated:</b>
Planning and Transportation Resources Allocation Sub Committee	17 <sup>th</sup> May 2022 17 <sup>th</sup> May 2022
<b>Subject:</b> Local Implementation Plan funded schemes 2022/23	<b>Public</b>
<b>Which outcomes in the City Corporation’s Corporate Plan does this proposal aim to impact directly?</b>	1, 9, 12
<b>Does this proposal require extra revenue and/or capital spending?</b>	<b>N</b>
<b>If so, how much?</b>	<b>£</b>
<b>What is the source of Funding?</b>	
<b>Has this Funding Source been agreed with the Chamberlain’s Department?</b>	<b>N/A</b>
<b>Report of:</b> Director of Environment	<b>For DECISION</b>
<b>Report author:</b> Samantha Tharme	

## Summary

This report covers the provision of Transport for London (TfL) Local Implementation Plan (LIP) funding to the City of London Corporation for the year 2022/23.

TfL funding is currently constrained by the impact of Covid-19 on their finances, and they are currently dependent on central government funding, including for funding to boroughs and the City Corporation that TfL administer.

In current City Corporation allocation for 2022/23 is:

- Corridors and Neighbourhoods: £96,000
- Borough Cycling (Cycleways Network Development): £20,000

We are awaiting details of the allocation for Principal Road Renewal.

In a regular year we would expect to receive approximately £900k, plus funding for specific programmes, such as cycling investment. Table 1 in Appendix 1 sets out the full LIP submission made to TfL in November 2021. Table 2 details the allocation awarded so far for this financial year.

## Recommendations

Members are asked to:

- Approve the allocations up to the maximum set out in table 1 (£1,917k), for the year 2022/23.
- Delegate authority to the Executive Director Environment, in consultation with the Chairs and Deputy Chairs of the Planning & Transportation Committee

and of the Streets & Walkways Sub Committee, to allocate any additional funds which are made available by TfL in 2022/23 financial year.

- Approve to spend any funds awarded for Principal Road Renewal for the year 2022/23.
- Delegate authority to the Executive Director Environment to reallocate the TfL grant between the approved LIP schemes should that be necessary during 2022/23 up to a maximum of £150,000.

## **Main Report**

### **Background**

1. This report covers the provision of Transport for London (TfL) Local Implementation Plan (LIP) funding to the City of London Corporation for the year 2022/23.
2. Under Section 159 of the Greater London Authority Act 1999, TfL is empowered to provide grants to London boroughs and the City of London Corporation for the provision of safe, efficient and economically viable transportation facilities and (or) services to, from or within Greater London. In May 2019 the 3-year Local Implementation Plan for the City Corporation was submitted to TfL and approved.
3. In November 2021 we submitted our Annual Spending Submission to TfL, which was the first part of the LIP for the new 3-year period commencing 2022/23. As requested by TfL, the total amount was in-line with our usual annual allocation (circa £900k, plus programme specific funding, such as cycling funding). All schemes were in line with the previously approved LIP and the Transport Strategy.
4. TfL funding is currently constrained by the impact of Covid-19 on their finances, particularly revenue income from public transport. They are currently dependent on central government, Department for Transport (DfT) funding to support them and to provide the borough and City funding that TfL administer.
5. DfT funds have been released on short notice and cover short time scales, which means TfL have only been able to commit the amounts guaranteed to them. This has meant prioritisation for in-flight schemes and schemes which can be delivered in the current financial year.
6. TfL have acknowledged the difficulty of planning and programming to short timescales. We anticipate further funding will be released later in the current financial and will prepare our own programme to be flexible to available funds. TfL funding is ringfenced to projects and schemes agreed in the LIP.

### **Current Position**

7. The current funding release to TfL is to the end of June 2022 and must be spent within the current financial year.
8. TfL have acknowledged the difficulty of planning and programming to short timescales. We anticipate further funding will be released later in the current financial year and will prepare our own programme to be flexible to available funds. TfL funding is ringfenced to projects and schemes agreed in the LIP.

9. Funding has been allocated to the City Corporation from the current funding settlement for:
  - Corridors and Neighbourhoods: £96,000
  - Borough Cycling (Cycleways Network Development): £20,000
10. All other LIP funded projects and activities are paused pending further decisions from TfL or further City fund requests.
11. Ring fenced funding for Principal Road Renewal is anticipated but the amount is not yet confirmed. This report therefore seeks approvals to spend any amount allocated. Principal Road Renewal allocation in recent years (before covid-19) was usually around £100k.

### **Corporate & Strategic Implications**

12. LIP funded projects and activities support delivery of:
  - Corporate Plan outcomes 1, 9 and 12
  - The Transport Strategy
  - The Climate Action Strategy.
  - Mitigation of corporate risk CR21 – Air Quality and Environment Department risk ENV-CO-TR 001 – Road Safety.
13. Reduced funding will limit our ability to deliver some Transport Strategy projects and activities. To date we have not been successful in identifying or securing funding from other sources to mitigate these impacts. Details of the impacts will be set out in Transport Strategy quarterly reports.

### **Conclusion**

14. Members are asked to approve the allocation up to the maximum in the submission as set out in table 1 (£1,917k) and any allocation for Principal Road Renewal. TfL have initially allocated £116k to the City Corporation. It is anticipated that further allocations will be released later in the current financial year.
15. Given the uncertainty and short-term financial decisions TfL are having to make at present it is recommended that approval is given to allow the Executive Director Environment flexibility to make decisions during the year. The amount is not anticipated to exceed the total amounts set out in the LIP submission for 2022/23 (Table 1, Appendix 1).
16. Where appropriate project spending is also subject to the usual Gateway reporting approvals process.

## **Appendices**

Appendix 1:

Table 1 Annual Spending Submission for 2022/23 (submitted November 2021)

Table 2 Current Local Implementation Plan allocation for 2022/23

Appendix 2: LIP scheme descriptions

## **Background papers**

City of London Transport Strategy - <https://www.cityoflondon.gov.uk/assets/Services-Environment/city-of-london-transport-strategy.pdf>

## **Report author**

Samantha Tharme, Acting Group Manager – Strategic Transportation, Department of Environment.

E: [Samantha.tharme@cityoflondon.gov.uk](mailto:Samantha.tharme@cityoflondon.gov.uk)

T: 07542 228918



## Appendix 1.

Table 1: Transport for London (TfL) Local Implementation Plan - Annual Spending Submission for 2022/23

TfL programme		£k
Local Transport Fund	Monitoring and schemes	100
Corridors And Neighbourhoods	Healthy Streets minor schemes including road danger reduction and accessibility improvements	867
Liveable Neighbourhoods	City Cluster Streets for People scheme	707
Borough Cycling (Cycleways Network Development)	Cycle network infrastructure	150
Cycle Parking	Cycle parking various locations	93
Total		1,917

Table 2: Current Local Implementation Plan allocation for 2022/23

TfL programme		£k
Local Transport Fund	Monitoring and schemes	-
Corridors And Neighbourhoods	Healthy Streets minor schemes including road danger reduction and accessibility improvements	96
Liveable Neighbourhoods	City Cluster Streets for People scheme	-
Borough Cycling (Cycleways Network Development)	Cycle network infrastructure	20
Cycle Parking	Cycle parking various locations	-
		£116k

## Appendix 2: LIP scheme descriptions

### Corridors and Neighbourhoods

- **Healthy Streets Minor schemes**

Healthy Streets minor schemes programme for 2022/23. A series of small-scale works, such as raised carriageway, kerb build-outs, to improve the quality of the walking environment and reduce road danger at targeted points. The prioritised schemes which will be able to be completed with the amount of funding allocated in March are:

Finch Lane/Cornhill - raised table with tactile paving

Minories (near the bus station) - raised zebra crossing

Basinghall Street/Masons Avenue - raised table with tactile paving

Should further funding be allocated during the year, further work on the priority list of schemes can be undertaken, and will be approved with Director of Environment

### Cycle Network programmes

- **Bevis Marks** For Bevis Marks, replacing the barriers with wands and create a safer link between Bishopsgate and Aldgate Square. Delivery should be completed in the first quarter of 2022. This is continuing with an experimental scheme from the covid-19 response temporary scheme.
- **Cycleways routes Queen Victoria Street – Aldgate to Blackfriars** Route Development Plan is in progress, with initial TfL Cycle Programme Sponsor approval. Work this year will cover further design and public consultation of the cycleway proposals.

By virtue of paragraph(s) 3 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A  
of the Local Government Act 1972.

Document is Restricted

This page is intentionally left blank